SUBJECT: HARASSMENT & DISCRIMINATION

1. Statement of Principles

1.1 The University of Northern British Columbia is committed to providing a working and learning environment in which all students, staff and faculty are treated with respect and dignity. The University of Northern British Columbia acknowledges the right of all individuals in the University Community to work or learn without discrimination or harassment because of personal characteristics protected by the BC Human Rights Code (http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/00_96210_01) including race, colour, ancestry, place of origin, religion, family status, marital status, physical disability, mental disability, sex, gender, age, sexual orientation, political beliefs or criminal or summary conviction offence unrelated to their employment.

1.2 Neither this policy in general, nor its definitions in particular, are to be applied in such a way as to detract from the right of faculty, staff and students to engage in the frank discussion of potentially controversial matters, such as age, race, politics, religion, sex and sexual orientation.

1.3 Nothing in this policy is intended to infringe upon academic freedom as specified in Article 2 of the UNBC Faculty Association Agreement. The protection afforded by this Policy is subject to exceptions under the Human Rights Code.

1.4 If a charitable, philanthropic, educational, fraternal, religious or social organization or corporation that is not operated for profit has as a primary purpose the promotion of the interests and welfare of an identifiable group or class of persons characterized by a physical or mental disability or by a common race, religion, age, sex, marital status, political belief, colour, ancestry or place of origin, that organization or group must not be considered to be contravening this Policy because it is granting a preference to members of the identifiable group or class of persons.

1.5 It is not discrimination or a contravention of this Policy to plan, advertise, adopt or implement an employment equity program that

(a) has as its objective the amelioration of conditions of disadvantaged individuals or groups who are disadvantaged because of race, colour, ancestry, place of origin, physical or mental disability, or sex, and

(b) achieves or is likely to achieve that objective.

1.6 This Policy applies to all University students, faculty, administrators, staff members and employees, as well as contractors, their employees and agents, and guests on campus. This includes those members of the University community who are involved in the University’s extension and off-campus programs, such as co-operative education, internships, clinicals, practica and student teaching.

1.7 Given the very nature of harassment, questions of interpretation will arise in the application of this Policy. It is the intention of this Policy, therefore, to adopt a “reasonable persons” standard in matters of interpretation and in the judgement, in particular cases, of whether harassment has occurred. That is, whether or not a behaviour or pattern of behaviour constitutes harassment is to be determined according to whether or not a
reasonable member of the University community, in roughly the same position as the 
Complainant, would judge harassment to have occurred (given the Definitions, below).

2. **Definitions**

2.1 **Complainant:**
The party who brings forward an allegation of harassment or discrimination.

2.2 **Respondent:**
The party against whom an allegation of harassment or discrimination is made.

2.3 **Complaint:**
The complaint includes the initial or any subsequent complaint of harassment or 
discrimination and/or a complaint of retaliation.

2.4 **Harassment and Discrimination Advisor:**
The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor’s role includes providing advice about 
harassment and discrimination issues; advising Complainants and Respondents about the 
University’s Policy and Procedures for dealing with harassment and discrimination; 
initiating appropriate inquiries; attempting to diffuse and/or resolve complaints informally. 
Psychological counselling shall not be provided by the Harassment and Discrimination 
Advisor. The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor is an employee of the University and 
reports directly to the President or her/his designated person.

2.5 **External Investigator:**
A duly qualified investigator appointed by the university to investigate formal complaints. 
The investigator will be versed in due process and the standards related to harassment 
and discrimination.

2.6 **President:**
This is the President of UNBC.

2.7 **Chair of the Board of Governors:**
This is the Chair of UNBC’s Board of Governors.

2.8 **Harassment and Discrimination:**
2.8.1 For the purposes of this Policy, discrimination means discrimination or harassment 
of a nature prohibited by the Human Rights Code of British Columbia as 
summarized in Section 1.1 of this Policy.

2.8.2 For the purposes of this Policy, harassment is an abuse of authority, or aggressive 
or threatening behaviour, where the abuse of authority or behaviour is directed at 
an individual because of one of the prohibited grounds summarized in Section 1.1 
of this Policy.

2.8.3 Harassment may occur between people of the same and different status within the 
university community, and both women and men may be the subject of 
harassment by members of either sex, regardless of the sexual orientation of any 
of them.

2.8.4 Harassment may occur during one incident, or over a series of incidents which, in 
isolation, would not necessarily constitute harassment.

2.8.5 Discrimination may constitute a form of harassment and vice versa.
2.8.6 Behaviour covered by this Policy may occur:
(a) at the University;
(b) at University-related social functions;
(c) in the course of work or study assignments outside the University;
(d) at work-related conferences or training sessions;
(e) during work or study related travel;
(f) over the telephone;
(g) over e-mail; or
(h) elsewhere if the person harassed is there as a result of work-related responsibilities or a work related relationship or if the behaviour has an adverse effect at the work-place.

2.8.7 Examples of behaviour which constitute harassment include, but are not limited to:
(a) creating an environment that is hostile, intimidating or offensive;
(b) actions or written or verbal comments that could reasonably be interpreted as intending to humiliate, threaten, intimidate, blackmail, or coerce another person;
(c) jeopardizing a person’s job or undermining his or her work performance;
(d) offering “perks” or threatening punishments to exert unwanted influence on another person;
(e) directing derogatory or degrading remarks towards another person;
(f) exerting unwanted physical force over another person;
(g) assaulting or stalking another person;
(h) displaying offensive material of a discriminatory nature;

where the behaviour is directed at an individual because of that individual’s race, colour, ancestry, place of origin, religion, family status, marital status, physical disability, mental disability, sex, age, sexual orientation, political belief, or criminal or summary conviction offence unrelated to employment.

2.9 Sexual Harassment:
For the purpose of this policy, “sexual harassment” is a specific type of harassment and is defined as one or a series of incidents involving unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favours, or other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature:
(a) when such conduct might reasonably be expected to cause embarrassment, insecurity, discomfort, offence or humiliation to another person or group of persons;
(b) when submission to such conduct is made either implicitly or explicitly a condition of employment or a condition of study;
(c) when submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment, or for academic performance, status or accreditation decisions affecting such individual;
(d) when such conduct has the purpose or the effect of interfering with a person’s work or academic performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, threatening or offensive work or study environment;
Examples of behaviour which constitute sexual harassment include, but are not limited to:

(i) sexist jokes causing embarrassment or offence, told or carried out after the joker has been advised that they are embarrassing or offensive, or that are by their nature clearly embarrassing or offensive;

(ii) leering;

(iii) the display of offensive material of a sexual nature;

(iv) sexually degrading words used to describe a person;

(v) derogatory or degrading remarks about a person’s sex or sexual orientation;

(vi) sexually suggestive or obscene comments or gestures;

(vii) distribution or publication of written material which could reasonably be interpreted to be sexually threatening or intimidating;

(viii) unwelcome sexual flirtations, advances, or propositions;

(ix) unwelcome inquiries or comments about a person’s sex life or spreading rumours about the same;

(x) persistent unwanted contact or attention after the end of a consensual relationship;

(xi) requests for sexual favours;

(xii) unwanted touching;

(xiii) verbal abuse or threats; and

(xiv) sexual assault.

2.10 Voluntary Consent:

(a) Relationships between faculty and students or supervisors and subordinates are particularly susceptible to exploitation. The respect, trust and power accorded a supervisor or member of the faculty may make voluntary consent by a subordinate or student suspect. Therefore faculty and supervisors need to take extra care in their dealings with students and subordinates.

(b) This policy is not intended to inhibit normal social relationships. A person entering into or involved in a sexual relationship with a consenting adult who will be or who is subject to that individual for evaluation or supervision should decline or terminate the supervisory or evaluative role, and inform his/her superior (e.g. Chair, Dean, Director, Vice-President) who will make appropriate alternative arrangements for the supervision and/or evaluation of that person’s work. In the case of a student, an alternative supervisor may need to be appointed from another university.

2.11 Reprisal:

No person will discharge, suspend, expel, intimidate, coerce, or otherwise retaliate against a person, because that person, whether as a witness, party or in any other role, participates in good faith in the procedures under this Policy. Any such reprisal will be considered harassment under this Policy, and any person who believes he or she has been subject to reprisal should immediately seek the assistance of the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor.
HARASSMENT & DISCRIMINATION PROCEDURE

3. Choice of Procedure

3.1 Nothing in these procedures precludes any person from following any alternative complaint procedures under any Collective Agreement, Faculty Association Agreement, Exempt and Directors Employee Handbooks, university policy, or the B.C. Human Rights Code, or from initiating any other proceedings in law.

3.2 A Complainant who believes that she/he has a complaint of discrimination or harassment may want to consider discussing the complaint with the Respondent and to ask the Respondent to stop the offensive behaviour or to correct the action which resulted in discrimination or harassment, before taking any steps under this Policy.

3.3 If a Complainant chooses not to follow the process set out in Section 3.2 or if that process does not achieve a satisfactory result, the Complainant may consult with the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor.

3.4 The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will discuss with the Complainant the complaint, this Policy and its procedures, and the courses of action open to the Complainant.

3.5 Informal complaints may be initiated by third parties, including the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, but will not proceed through the informal or formal process without the consent of the person or persons alleged to have been harassed or sexually harassed.

3.6 The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will maintain confidentiality with respect to the informal process except where, in the opinion of the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, disclosure of some or all of the particulars of a complaint to the President (or Chair of the Board of Governors) is required in order to protect the wellbeing and safety of members of the University community.

4. Complaint Options

After consultation with the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, the Complainant may choose any of the following options:

4.1 (a) take no further action under this policy; or
(b) ask the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor to speak informally with the Respondent with a view toward reaching an informal resolution;
(c) request mediation;
(d) request formal investigation.

4.2 If the Complainant chooses to follow a procedure external to this policy, the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will not commence an inquiry (nor continue an inquiry that has already commenced) while the external procedure is proceeding.

4.3 Where an informal agreement has been reached all parties will sign a statement of the terms of the resolution if applicable; in all cases the Advisor will ensure the resolution is documented in writing. Documentation of the resolution will be kept by the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor.

4.4 The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will review the complaint and may decide not to proceed with either an informal or a formal resolution of the complaint where it appears that the complaint:
(a) is trivial, frivolous, vexatious, or made in bad faith; or
(b) has been fairly and satisfactorily addressed by some other legal process.
If the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor decides not to proceed with the complaint, the reason should be explained to the Complainant.

5. **Formal Mediation**

5.1 Either party may request mediation, but it will be arranged only with the consent of both parties. The mediator shall not have punitive power, but shall seek resolution of issues by mutual agreement of the Complainant and Respondent. The mediator shall be selected from a pool of suitably qualified candidates trained in alternative dispute resolution techniques that relate to the issues covered by this policy.

5.2 Both the mediator and the format of the mediation process must be acceptable to the parties.

5.3 Each party may be accompanied in the mediation process by a support person. A member of the Faculty Association may be accompanied during the mediation by a representative from the Faculty Association. A member of the CUPE bargaining unit may be accompanied during the mediation by a representative from CUPE. A member of the Exempt Employees or of the Directors may be accompanied during the mediation by a representative from their respective employee group. Each party may obtain legal counsel at his or her own expense.

5.4 Mediation will not be prerequisite to a formal investigation. Where it occurs, mediation will be conducted without prejudice to any further action by either party. If the complaint is resolved through mediation, the matter will go no further. Where a mutually acceptable resolution is reached, the parties will sign a statement of the terms of the resolution. The statement of the resolution will be provided to the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor. If mediation fails to arrive at a mutually acceptable resolution, a Complainant or a Respondent may request a formal investigation through the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, normally within two weeks of the cessation of mediation.

6. **Formal Investigation**

6.1 Either a Complainant or a Respondent may file a written request to the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor for formal investigation under this policy.

6.2 Where the complaint involves the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, the Complainant may make the written request to the President.

6.3 Where the complaint involves the President of the University of Northern British Columbia, the Complainant may make the written request to the Chair of the Board of Governors. If the complaint is made to the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will inform the Chair of the Board of Governors. The Chair and the Advisor may work together to seek informal resolution.

6.4 After receipt of a request for formal investigation, the recipient of the request, in consultation with legal counsel, will select the external investigator and provide the external investigator with terms of reference for the investigation and a proposed timeline for completing the investigation, normally within two months. The investigator will be selected from a list of potential investigators pre-approved by representatives from NUGSS, NBCGSS, CUPE, the Faculty Association, Exempt Employees, and Directors. The list of potential investigators will be reviewed by the Advisor each year to ensure ongoing availability of persons named on the list (see Section 14).

6.5 Prior to the commencement of the formal investigation, the Complainant will provide the external investigator with the following information about the complaint in writing:

(a) the name of the Complainant;

(b) the name of the Respondent;
(c) a summary of the incidents that constitute the complaint.

The written complaint must be signed and dated. A copy of the written complaint will be provided to the Respondent prior to the interview with the Respondent.

6.6 The external investigator will interview the Complainant and the Respondent. During the interview, the Complainant and the Respondent may be accompanied by a support person. A member of the Faculty Association may be accompanied during the interview by a representative from the Faculty Association. A member of the CUPE bargaining unit may be accompanied during the interview by a representative from CUPE. A member of the Exempt Employees or Directors may be accompanied by a representative from their respective employee group.

6.7 The external investigator will provide the Complainant and the Respondent with the opportunity to provide the investigator with any relevant documents and with the names of witnesses whom the Complainant and the Respondent believe have information that is relevant to the investigation. The external investigator will decide whether to interview any particular witness.

6.8 The external investigator will prepare a confidential report setting out findings of fact and a determination as to whether this policy has been breached. Excerpts of evidence drawn from relevant documents may be integrated into the report. The report will not include appended documents but such documents will be made available to the President (or Chair of the Board of Governors) upon request. If requested by the President (or Chair of the Board of Governors), such documents will be made available to the Complainant and Respondent. A copy of the report will be provided to the President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors), the Complainant and the Respondent. The Complainant and the Respondent will treat the report as strictly confidential. Where the Complainant or the Respondent is a member of CUPE, a member of the Faculty Association, or a member of the Exempt Employees or Directors, the Complainant or Respondent may provide a copy of the report to his or her representative who will keep the copy of the report in a confidential file with access restricted to that representative.

6.9 Within 20 days of receipt of the confidential report from the external investigator, the President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) shall consider the report, and provide a written decision to the Complainant (s) and Respondent(s) with a copy to the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor.

6.10 The President (or Chair of the Board of Governors) will retain the original investigative report in a sealed file in a locked cabinet under the care and control of the President (or Chair of the Board of Governors). The Complainant and Respondent and their representatives must destroy their copies of the report one year after any and all appeals are completed.

7. Discipline and Remedies

7.1 The President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) may impose an appropriate sanction for the harassment or discrimination, may provide a remedy for the Complainant, or may exonerate the Respondent. Considerations affecting administrative action should include:

(a) the severity of the harassment or discrimination;
(b) whether the harassment or discrimination was intentional or unintentional;
(c) whether the offence is an isolated incident or involves repeated acts of harassment or discrimination;
(d) any mitigating or aggravating circumstances.
7.2 The President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) may order any remedy and/or sanction deemed just and appropriate. The range of sanctions may include but is not limited to: dismissal, expulsion, suspension or public or private reprimand. The range of remedies includes, but is not limited to:

(a) back pay;
(b) restoration of benefits (e.g., salary, increments, promotions, fringe benefits, sick leave, shift changes);
(c) compensation for humiliation (psychological and emotional stress, loss of self-respect and dignity);
(d) an apology (from the employer and/or the harasser);
(e) transfer;
(f) punish the harasser (discipline, discharge or expulsion, or a note on the academic record);
(g) counselling services for the Complainant;
(h) counselling and education services for the Respondent; or
(i) costs and reassessment of academic work.

7.3 Allegations of discrimination and harassment, including sexual harassment, against contractors, their employees and agents, and guests on campus will be dealt with by the University as potential breaches of contract, and/or may result in suspension of University privileges, such as access to the campus.

8. False and Malicious Complaints

Where the complaint is found by the external investigator to be false and malicious, the President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) may impose a sanction on the Complainant.

9. Appeals

Appeals involving findings that result in disciplinary action against students, staff, and faculty will be carried out as follows:

9.1 In the event that disciplinary action is recommended for a student, the student has the right to appeal the action through the Senate Committee on Student Discipline Appeals, according to its regulations.

9.2 In the event that disciplinary action is taken against a faculty member, the University will follow the discipline procedure outlined in Articles 45 and 42 of the UNBC/UNBCFA Agreement, and the faculty member has the right to grieve that disciplinary action under Article 44. A harassment and discrimination appeal will follow the same procedure as an Individual Grievance (Articles 44 and 44).

9.3 In the event that disciplinary action is taken against a staff member who is a member of the Canadian Union of Public Employees, the staff member has the right to appeal the action. Such appeal shall be subject to the Collective Agreement between CUPE and UNBC.

9.4 In the event that disciplinary action is taken against a staff member who is not a member of the Canadian Union of Public Employees, the staff member has the right to appeal the action. Such appeals shall be subject to the Redress Procedure in the Handbook for Exempt Employees.
10. **Confidentiality**

At all times, Complainants, Respondents, and persons involved in resolving complaints under this Policy have the responsibility to maintain confidentiality. Nonetheless, concerns for an individual’s health, safety, and security may compel the University to disclose information about complaints. As well, other measures, such as arbitrations, court proceedings or procedures under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* may require the University to release information about complaints. All participants to Harassment and Discrimination proceedings should be aware that unwarranted breaches of confidence, especially those related to false and malicious complaints, may be subject to charges of slander and libel. Unwarranted breaches of confidentiality by an employee may also result in discipline.

11. **Time Limits**

A written complaint and request for either mediation or formal investigation should be submitted within six months of the date of the last alleged incident of harassment. If the Complainant submits evidence that there is reasonable cause for an extension beyond the six months limit, the matter must be referred directly to the President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors). The President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) may exercise discretion in waiving the limitation period. However, the onus is on the Complainant to establish a reasonable and bona fide cause for the delay, and to show that waiver of the time limitation is in the best interests of justice. The Respondent shall be given an opportunity to challenge the case for such a waiver.

12. **Education**

The University, in co-operation with the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor, will continue to provide education and training for all members of the University community addressing harassment and discrimination.

13. **Emergency Situation**

Notwithstanding any part of this policy, the President (or the Chair of the Board of Governors) may make a preliminary determination that a group or individual constitutes an immediate threat to the physical safety of a member or members of the University community. The President (or Chair of the Board of Governors) may order campus security to bar that group or person from the campus.


In April, the Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will submit an annual report to the President. The report will include, but not be limited to, information regarding:

- (a) the number of complaints received;
- (b) a break-down as to type of complaint;
- (c) a break-down as to resolution;
- (d) a break-down as to current status as active or inactive;
- (e) a review of the list of external investigators to ensure ongoing availability of persons named on the list; and
- (f) indication of whether the Harassment and Discrimination Policy is up for review in the coming year (as per Section 15).
The report must contain no information that could be used to identify either Complainant(s) or Respondent(s). If needed the report may contain recommendations for changes to the Harassment and Discrimination Policy.

15. **Review of Policy**

Every three years, the University will review this policy and seek input from stakeholders including NUGSS, NBCGSS, CUPE, the Faculty Association, Exempt Employees, and Directors. The Harassment and Discrimination Advisor will also review the operation of this policy and procedure and periodically make recommendations for changes to the policy or procedure.

16. **Appointment of Advisor**

The President (or Chair of the Board of Governors) is responsible for appointing individuals to the role of Harassment and Discrimination Advisor in consultation with stakeholder groups (i.e., NUGSS, NBCGSS, CUPE, the Faculty Association, Exempt Employees, and Directors). There is to be a period of transition lasting about six months during which time the newly appointed Advisor works under the mentorship of the former Advisor. This transition period is intended to provide continuity and consistency in interpretation and application of the Harassment and Discrimination Policy.