Sockeye fry, smolt, and nursery lake monitoring of Quesnel and Shuswap lakes in 2004.

Jeremy Hume¹ Ken Shortreed¹ Timber Whitehouse²

March, 2005

¹Fisheries & Oceans Canada, Cultus Lake Salmon Research Laboratory 4222 Columbia Valley Highway Cultus Lake B. C. V2R 5B6

²Fisheries & Oceans Canada British Columbia Interior Area 985 McGill Place Kamloops, BC V2C 6X6

Introduction

Record dominant brood year escapements occurred to Quesnel Lake in 2001 and to Shuswap Lake in 2002. The subdominant brood year escapement to Quesnel Lake in 2002 was not fully enumerated but was also estimated to be the highest on record (Steve Latham, Pacific Salmon Commission, personal communications, Fig. 1A, 2A). Potential juvenile sockeye densities from these large escapements far exceeded predicted optimal recruitment levels based on historic lake productivity assessments. The record escapements (particularly in Quesnel Lake) also returned unprecedented amounts of marine-derived nutrients (MDN) from sockeye carcasses. The effects of these escapements on density and growth of sockeye fry were assessed in 2002 and 2003 (Hume et al. 2004), and the effects on lake productivity were assessed in Quesnel Lake in 2003 (Hume et al. 2004; Shortreed et al., in prep).

In 2003, escapements to both lakes were considerably lower than the earlier record escapements (Fig. 1A, 2A). The 2003 escapement was about an order of magnitude lower than the previous year in both lakes but at it was still the highest escapement on that nondominant cycle line in Quesnel Lake (Fig. 1B). In Shuswap Lake, the 2003 escapement was similar to recent subdominant escapements (Fig. 2B). Escapements in 2003 were below the long term (1970-2003) average escapement to each lake. The extensive time series of limnological and juvenile sockeye data presented us with an opportunity to examine the effects of variable inputs of MDN and the interactions between cycle lines.

We conducted these studies through detailed surveys on the limnology of Quesnel Lake and surveys of juvenile sockeye abundance, size, and productivity in both lakes. An additional objective of the current study was to determine winter and spring growth of the 2002 brood year by sampling smolts leaving Quesnel and Shuswap lakes in the spring of 2004. There are relatively little data on emigrant smolt size from either lake and these data help in determining variability in over-winter growth and the utility of using fall fry size data as a predictor of smolt size.

Our specific objectives were:

- 1. Conduct detailed monthly limnological sampling at Quesnel Lake to assess top down or bottom up mechanisms that relate to carry over effects on lake primary and secondary production and on sockeye production.
- **2.** Conduct fall fry surveys at Quesnel and Shuswap lakes to determine fall abundance, size and distribution.
- **3.** Conduct downstream trapping at Quesnel and Shuswap lake outlets to representatively sample and quantify smolt size at emigration in 2004.

Study Area

Quesnel, and Shuswap lakes are located in the south-central portion of the interior plateau of British Columbia and are two of the larger lakes in the Fraser River drainage basin. The climate consists of cold winters and warm, dry summers but winters are much less severe at Shuswap than at Quesnel Lake.

Quesnel Lake (52°30'N, 120°00'W) has a surface area of 272 km² and its maximum depth of 523 m (mean depth = 150 m) makes it the ninth deepest lake in the world. It lies at an elevation of 725 m in the Cassiar-Columbia Mountain physiographic region and the Interior Western Hemlock biogeoclimatic zone of central British Columbia (Farley 1979). Annual precipitation ranges from <75 cm at the western end of the lake to about 150 cm at the eastern end (Farley 1979). The lake has a large (5,930 km²) drainage basin and an average water residence time of 9.8 yr. Quesnel Lake is dimictic, with winter ice cover occurring each year. The most important spawning areas for adult sockeye are the lake's two major tributaries, the Horsefly and Mitchell rivers, while smaller numbers of sockeye spawn in many small streams. Further, in some years substantial numbers spawn along the shores of the lake. Two shallow bays have very low densities of sockeye fry and have been excluded in our analysis of sockeye densities (adults and juveniles), resulting in a surface area of 261 km².

With an area of 330 km² including Mara Lake, Shuswap Lake, is the second largest in the Fraser River system. It is the most southerly (50°00'N, 119°05'W) of our study lakes and also is situated at a substantially lower elevation (346 m). Consequently, the climate is milder, resulting in a longer growing season, more prolonged and pronounced thermal stratification, and a warmer epilimnion than in Quesnel Lake. Annual precipitation is 50-75 cm (Farley 1979). The large drainage basin (16,221 km²) and relatively shallow depth (mean=62 m, maximum=162 m) results in a water residence time of only 2.1 yr. While occasional strong winds do occur, Shuswap Lake is much less windy than Quesnel Lake. Sockeye spawn in a number of lake tributaries and in the lake itself, with approximately 70% of the total escapement spawning in the Adams River, which enters Shuswap Lake only 2 km from the outlet of the lake.

Methods

Limnology - Quesnel Lake

Limnological data were collected once monthly from May to October (n=6). Each month, four locations were sampled: station 3 (North Arm), 7 (western end Main Arm), 8 (eastern end Main Arm), and 9 (East Arm) (Fig. 3). Methods were similar to those used on many other limnological investigations of B.C. sockeye lakes (Shortreed et al. 2001).

On each sampling date we used an Applied Microsystems Micro CTD 7079 to obtain temperature and conductivity profiles from the surface to 100 m. A standard white, 20-cm diameter Secchi disk was used to measure water clarity. A Li-Cor data logger (model LI-1000) equipped with a model LI-193SA spherical quantum sensor was used to measure photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) (400-700 nm) and determine euphotic zone depths. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations at the surface and at 50 m were obtained using an Oxyguard Handy Beta meter.

All water sampling took place between 0800 and 1100 h (PST). We used an opaque, 6-L Van Dorn bottle to collect all water samples. Integrated water samples (water from 4 depths within each integral was mixed) were collected from within the epilimnion and from the bottom of the epilimnion to the bottom of the euphotic zone. A hypolimnetic sample was collected from a depth of 50 m. In addition, at station 8 water was collected from 8 discrete depths from the surface to 50 m and later analyzed for nitrate and chlorophyll concentration. All collected water was processed (filtered, frozen, or preserved) within 3 h of collection.

Later replicate analyses from the integrated samples included total dissolved solids, chlorophyll, dissolved silica, phosphorus (total and dissolved), nitrogen (nitrate and ammonia), bacteria, and phytoplankton. Subsequent chemical analyses were carried out according to methods given in Stephens and Brandstaetter (1983) and Stainton et al. (1977). For total phosphorus determination, clean screw-capped test tubes were rinsed with sample, filled, capped, stored at 4°C, and later analyzed using a molybdenum blue method after persulfate digestion. Water for dissolved nitrate analyses was filtered through an ashed 47-mm diameter Micro Filtration Systems (MFS) borosilicate microfiber filter (equivalent to a Whatman GF/F filter) and then frozen. For chlorophyll analysis, we filtered water through 47-mm diameter, 0.45-µm Millipore HA filters, which were then frozen. Filters were later macerated in 90% acetone and chlorophyll concentration was determined using either a Turner Model 112 fluorometer or a Turner Designs Model 10-AU fluorometer.

Water for bacteria enumeration was collected in sterile 20-mL glass vials and preserved with two drops of formaldehyde. Bacterioplankton were later counted using the DAPI method (Robarts and Sephton 1981). For phytoplankton enumeration and identification, opaque 125-mL polyethylene bottles were rinsed with sample, filled, and fixed with 1-mL of Lugol's iodine solution. Phytoplankton were enumerated and identified to genus or species using a Wild M40 inverted microscope equipped with phase contrast optics. Phototrophic picoplankton were enumerated using the method described by MacIsaac and Stockner (1985). Within a few hours of collection, 15 mL of water was filtered through a 0.2-µm Nuclepore filter counter-stained with Irgalan black. Filters were placed in opaque petri dishes, air-dried and stored in the dark at room temperature until analyzed. A Zeiss epifluorescence microscope equipped with a 397-nm longwave-pass exciter filter and a 560-nm shortwave-pass exciter filter, a 580-nm beam-splitter mirror and a 590-nm longwave-pass barrier filter was used for picoplankton enumeration. Filters were examined at 1250X magnification under oil immersion.

In situ phytoplankton photosynthetic rates (PR) were estimated using standard techniques of ¹⁴C uptake in light and dark bottles. PR was determined at 7 discrete depths from the surface to below the euphotic zone. At each depth, three 125-mL glass bottles (2 light and 1 dark) were filled, inoculated with a ¹⁴C-bicarbonate stock solution. and incubated for 1.5-2 h at the original sampling depth. Incubations commenced between 0900 and 1100 h (PST). At each sampling date we also inoculated three scintillation vials containing 0.5 mL of 0.2 N NaOH with the ¹⁴C-bicarbonate solution for later determination of its activity. After incubations, bottles were placed in light-proof boxes and transported to the field laboratory where samples were filtered onto 25-mm diameter MFS glass fiber filters <2 h after incubation stopped. After filtration, filters were placed in scintillation vials containing 0.5 mL of 0.5 N HCl and lids were left off the vials for 6-8 h. Before counting, 10 mL of Scintiverse II (Fisher Scientific) was added to each scintillation vial. Activity in each vial was determined using a Beckman Coulter LS6500 liquid scintillation counter. A quench series composed of the same scintillation cocktail and filter used for samples was used to determine counting efficiency. The equation of Strickland and Parsons (1972) was used to calculate hourly PR. Daily PR (mg C·m⁻²·d⁻¹) was estimated using sunlight data collected with Li-Cor LI-1000 data loggers and Li-Cor 190SA quantum sensors.

At each depth used for PR, water for pH and alkalinity determinations was also collected in 125-mL glass bottles. Within 4 h of collection, a Cole-Parmer Digi-Sense pH meter (Model 5986-10) and Ross combination electrode were used to determine pH and total alkalinity (mg CaCO₃/L) according to the standard potentiometric method of APHA (1998). Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations were calculated indirectly from pH, temperature, total dissolved solids and bicarbonate alkalinity.

At each station, replicate zooplankton samples were collected with a 160-um mesh Wisconsin net (mouth area = 0.05 m^2) hauled vertically to the surface from 30 m. All samples were placed in 125-mL plastic bottles and preserved in a sucrose-buffered 4% formalin solution (Haney and Hall 1973). Zooplankton (rotifers and nauplii were not counted) were later counted, identified to genus or species (Pennak 1978; Balcer et al. 1984), and measured with a computerized video measuring system (MacLellan et al. 1993). Measurement of body length was carried out as described by Koenings et al. (1987). Zooplankton biomass (milligrams dry weight) was calculated with speciesspecific length-weight regressions adapted from Bird and Prairie (1985), Culver et al. (1985), Stemberger and Gilbert (1987), and Yan and Mackie (1987). Surface area of the lake and each of its arms was determined by digitizing the lake shoreline from 1:50,000 topographic maps using Sigmascan v1.2 image analysis software. Seasonal averages of data from each station were calculated as time-weighted means of data obtained from May to October. Seasonal average PR was computed by assuming PR was zero on May 1 and October 31. Means for each arm were calculated as simple means of stations within that arm. Whole-lake averages were calculated by weighting the value for each arm by the proportion of the lake it represented (North=23%, East=38%, Main+West=39%).

Limnetic fish populations

Limnetic fish were surveyed using midwater trawling and hydroacoustics. Prior to the surveys, we divided the lakes into a number of trawling sections. Within each section, 2 to 4 evenly spaced hydroacoustic transects were established for a total of 16 transects in Quesnel Lake and 29 in Shuswap Lake (Fig. 3). All sampling was done during the hours of darkness when fish were dispersed near the thermocline and within the working range of the trawl and hydroacoustic system (McDonald and Hume 1984; Burczynski and Johnson 1986; Levy 1990).

Midwater fish were collected with a 3x7-m midwater trawl to determine species and age composition of the limnetic fish community (Enzenhofer and Hume 1989). In 2003, trawls were from 7-30 min in duration and were made at locations and depths (18-25 m) suggested by fish targets on the echogram. Most captured fish were killed with an overdose of anaesthetic and preserved in 10% formalin, but larger fish were measured and released live. Fish were kept in formalin for at least one month before lengths and weights were recorded. Acoustic survey was conducted using a Biosonics DE-X split beam echosounder with a 200-kHz transducer producing a 6° beam. Data were digitally collected and recorded for later population estimation using the techniques of Burczynski and Johnson (1986). Recorded data were processed using SonarData's Echoview software. *In situ* target strength and mean backscattering cross sections of fish were determined at each transect from collected data and target density was determined using echo integration. Target strength (TS) and equipment scaling factors were used to scale the echo integration to provide an estimate of fish density in each transect. Target strength frequency analysis and species and age information from the trawl catch were

used to apportion the acoustic fish density for each transect. Acoustic estimates were apportioned into age-0 sized *O. nerka*, other fish of similar size, and large fish. First, estimates for small fish (TS of -63 to -44 dB) and large fish (TS>-44 dB) were made. The trawl catch, which is mostly unbiased for fish up to 150 mm, was then used to apportion the small fish into age-0 *O. nerka* and others. Based on many years of trawl catches, all of the large fish were assumed to be older age classes of kokanee. In any case, very large TS's (>=37 dB) comprised <1% of the sample.

Data from each transect within a trawling section were averaged to provide a mean estimate of density for each section. Mean density was multiplied by the surface area of the section to provide a population estimate for the section and then summed to provide a total population estimate for the lake. Mean lake density was calculated by dividing the lake population estimate by the total surface area. Variances were calculated for the density of each section and were then weighted by the square of the section area. The sum of the weighted variances was divided by the square of the lake area to provide a variance for the lake population estimate. In this paper we report 95% confidence limits.

O. nerka ageing and stock identification

We used both length-frequency analysis and scale analysis to age *O. nerka* captured in the midwater trawls. All *O. nerka* were initially assigned to preliminary age classes based on groupings determined from a simple length frequency analysis. It was apparent from the observed size distribution that most fish were young of the year (age-0) and up to 20 scales were randomly taken from this group to confirm this age classification. Scales were also taken from up to 20 of the larger fish. Ages from scales were then used to confirm ages from the length frequency analysis. In past years, we have found length frequency analysis to be almost always accurate on *O. nerka* from both lakes. If any discrepancies are found, the scale aging is used. All scale aging was done by modification of the methods described in Clutter and Whitesel (1956) (Shayne MacLellan, DFO, Nanaimo, personal communication).

Juvenile *O. nerka* in both Quesnel and Shuswap lakes may be anadromous sockeye or non-anadromous kokanee. Based on scale analysis of returning adults, most sockeye migrate from Shuswap Lake after one winter as age-1 smolts (Pacific Salmon Commission, Vancouver, data on file). We therefore assumed that all age-1 and older *O. nerka* captured in trawls during July and October in Shuswap Lake were kokanee. Other than possibly size (in some lakes), there are no readily distinguishable physical differences between age-0 sockeye and age-0 kokanee (Wood and Foote 1990). Other techniques such as the use of DNA or Sr concentration in the otolith core (Rieman et al 1994) have been developed to distinguish sockeye and kokanee. In the past, we used either electrophoretic and Sr methods to identify kokanee but the appropriate labs either no longer exist or are unable to process our samples at this time. Modern DNA methods exist for stock identification of a sockeye-kokanee mix but require adult baseline data. These data does not yet exist for kokanee in these lakes but is planned for at least Quesnel Lake in the coming year.

From our hydroacoustic estimates we derived an estimate of numbers of larger fish in both lakes. Based on trawl data and on data from previous years, these larger fish are almost entirely older kokanee. Provincial biologists have determined that on average 60% of the total kokanee population in lakes without sockeye are age-0 kokanee (Dale Sebastian, WLAP, Victoria, personal communications; Sebastian et al. 2003). Using this index, we can generate a rough estimate the of the numbers of age-0 kokanee in both lakes.

Sockeye Diet

Stomach contents from up to 20 *O. nerka* per midwater trawl sample were examined. To minimize bias caused by different digestion rates of prey, only fish captured in trawls made within 3 h after the onset of darkness (approximate start of the most intensive feeding period) were included in the analysis. Samples consisting of the contents of 10 pooled stomachs (two samples/tow) were subsampled with a Folsom plankton splitter and enumerated with a computerized video measuring system (MacLellan et al. 1993). Relative volume of prey types in the 12 stomachs and an index of stomach fullness expressed as a percentage by volume were estimated using a technique modified from Hellawell and Abel (1971).

Sockeye Smolts - Quesnel and Shuswap Lakes

Sockeye smolts from the 2002 brood year were captured with an inclined plane trap (IPT) in the spring of 2004 as they were leaving Quesnel and Shuswap lakes. Fishing was conducted on the Quesnel River for 9 days from May 11- 25, 2004 and on the South Thompson River for 7 days from May 11 - 26, 2004. Fish were measured live shortly after capture. Scales were taken for ageing. Ageing results are not yet available.

Results and Discussion

Limnology - Quesnel Lake

When the lake was first sampled on May 11-12, surface temperatures were 4.3-4.8°C and isothermal conditions prevailed. From June to October, the lake was thermally stratified (Fig. 4-7). Epilimnion depths slowly deepened through the growing season and the seasonal average was 8.9 m (Table 1). The maximum surface temperature of 19.5°C occurred in the Main Arm in early August. Euphotic zone depth and Secchi depth did not exhibit distinct seasonal variability, with the lowest values occurring at station 7 near the Horsefly River during spring freshet. With an average euphotic zone depth of 17.7 m and an average Secchi depth of 9.4 m in 2004, Quesnel Lake had higher water clarity than most other Fraser system lakes (Shortreed et al. 2001). While euphotic zone depths in 2003 tended to be less than those in earlier years, in 2004 they were similar to or greater than those prior to 2003 (Fig. 8).

Dissolved oxygen concentrations remained relatively high (range: 7.5-12 mg/L) throughout the water column for the whole growing season and presented no constraints on juvenile sockeye growth and survival (Table 1). Lowest DO values occurred in the epilimnion when temperatures were highest. Quesnel Lake was slightly alkaline in 2004, with pH values ranging from 7.1-8.1. The whole-lake seasonal average pH of 7.6 was lower than in 2003 and within the range observed for earlier years (Table 1, Fig. 8). Quesnel Lake is well-buffered relative to most other Fraser system sockeye lakes, and the seasonal average concentrations of dissolved inorganic carbon (11.8 mg/L), total alkalinity (45.3 mg CaCO₃/L), total dissolved solids (mean=63 mg/L), and conductivity (mean=84 μ S/cm) are in the upper portion of the range commonly seen in those lakes (Shortreed et al. 2001).

Whole-lake seasonal average spring overturn total phosphorus (TP_{spr}) averaged 2.6 μ g/L and seasonal average total phosphorus (TP) was 3.0 μ g/L (Table 1). This is within the

range commonly seen in other oligotrophic B.C. sockeye lakes (Shortreed et al 2001) and places the lake in the lower range of oligotrophy (Carlson 1977). Shortreed et al. (in prep) demonstrated that in Quesnel Lake TP_{spr} was significantly correlated with spawner density in the previous year. In 2004, TP_{spr} concentrations were a good fit to this relationship (Fig. 9). Quesnel Lake has higher nitrogen concentrations than most Fraser system sockeye lakes (Shortreed et al. 2001). In 2004, spring overturn nitrate averaged 139 µg N/L and epilimnetic nitrate concentrations remained relatively high throughout the growing season (Table 1, Fig. 10). It is unclear why spring nitrate concentrations in both 2003 and 2004 were higher than in previous years, because there is insufficient nitrogen in MDN to explain the increase (Fig. 11). Epilimnetic nitrate concentrations became depleted for a portion of the growing season in 2003, but in previous years and in 2004 epilimnetic nitrate did not approach depletion (Fig. 11).

Epilimnetic bacteria numbers exhibited relatively little seasonal variability (range: 0.68-1.02 million/mL) and averaged 0.82 million/mL. These numbers are similar to those found in other B.C. sockeye lakes and based on the trophic classification of Bird and Kalff (1984), place the lake in the middle range of oligotrophy. Prior to 2003, seasonal average bacteria numbers in Quesnel Lake were 0.77±0.09 million/mL (2SE, n=5) (Shortreed et al., in prep.). In 2003, the average increased substantially to 1.53 million/mL, placing the lake at the upper end of oligotrophy. In 2004, the average of 0.82 million/mL was substantially lower, and only slightly higher than the average seen in previous years.

As with most other variables, the seasonal average epilimnetic chlorophyll concentration of 0.64 μ g/L indicated that in 2004 Quesnel Lake was highly oligotrophic (Table 1) (Carlson 1977). Vertical profiles of chlorophyll concentration did not exhibit any distinct trends, although highest summer concentrations tended to occur in the lower epilimnion (Fig. 12). Seasonal variation was minimal, with no distinct spring or fall maxima except in June, at station 7, near the Horsefly River. It is probable that the spring freshet from the Horsefly River brought in additional nutrients which resulted in the June peak at that location. Prior to 2003, the seasonal average epilimnetic chlorophyll concentrations of 1.06 \pm 0.06 μ g/L (2SE) placed the lake in the middle of the oligotrophic range (Carlson 1977; Shortreed et al., in prep). In 2003, average chlorophyll increased by approximately one-third to 1.62 μ g/L. In 2004, average chlorophyll was 0.64 μ g/L, substantially lower than in 2003 and also lower than in any of the previous years (Fig. 13).

Although phytoplankton biomass (chlorophyll) is a widely used indicator of trophic status, rate measurements such as photosynthetic rates (PR) provide a more sensitive indicator of lake productivity. In our study, PR was estimated directly from *in situ* uptake of ¹⁴C. Vertical PR profiles were variable, but highest values generally occurred in the upper portion of the epilimnion within 5 m of the surface (Fig. 14-17). Seasonal average PR ranged from 101 mg C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ in the East Arm to 128 mg C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ in the eastern portion of the Main Arm (Table 1). Prior to 2003, whole-lake seasonal PR averaged $103 \pm 12 \text{ mg C} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ (2SE). In 2003, the average PR approximately doubled to 211 mg C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ (Shortreed et al., in prep). In 2004, the seasonal average PR of 115 mg C·m⁻²·d⁻¹ returned to a level only slightly higher than the years prior to 2003 (Fig. 18).

Phytoplankton community structure in Quesnel Lake was similar to that found in many other B.C. sockeye lakes. Picoplankton numbers were lowest in spring and highest in

July. The average picoplankton density of 35,000/mL was within the range commonly observed in oligotrophic B.C. lakes (Stockner and Shortreed 1991). The cyanobacteria Synechococcus was the dominant picoplankton and made up over 90% of total picoplankton numbers. Of nanoplankton other than diatoms, the most common genera were the flagellates Chromulina and Chroomonas, both of which are ubiquitous in B.C. lakes and are favourable prey items for herbivorous zooplankton. The diatom genera Cyclotella sp. and Rhizosolenia sp. are ubiquitous and abundant in most oligotrophic B.C. lakes and this was also the case in Quesnel Lake. With one notable exception, phytoplankton community structure exhibited relatively minor annual variability and genera which were common in 2004 were common in all other years. However, in years prior to 2003, the large chain-forming diatom Tabellaria fenestrata was often present but always in low numbers, with an average density of only 0.59±0.24/mL (2E). In 2003, its average density increased over 3 orders of magnitude to an average of 888/mL. In 2004, average T. fenestrata numbers were substantially lower (5.3/mL), but still much higher than in years prior to 2003 (Fig. 18). Because of the large size of individual cells and the long chains it forms, *T. fenestrata* is a relatively poor food item for herbivorous zooplankton.

At all stations sampled, macrozooplankton biomass was lowest in spring and increased to seasonal maxima in September or early October (Fig. 19, 20). Seasonal average biomass ranged from 494 mg dry wt/m² at station 7 to 722 mg dry wt/m² at station 8 (Table 1). *Daphnia* comprised 50% of total biomass with copepods (predominantly *Diacyclops* and *Leptodiaptomus*) making up one-third of the total. The whole-lake seasonal average macrozooplankton biomass of 645 mg dry wt/m² was within the range commonly observed (mean=780±100 mg dry wt/m²) for all years (n=14) for which data are available from 1985-2003. The seasonal average *Daphnia* biomass of 322 mg dry wt/m² was slightly higher than the long-term average of 250±34 mg dry wt/m². The average biomass of both macrozooplankton and *Daphnia* in Quesnel Lake is near the middle of the range observed in other Fraser system sockeye lakes (Shortreed et al. 2001).

Juvenile sockeye diet

Stomachs from 10-20 age-0 *O. nerka* from each arm were examined for diet information except for the North Arm of Quesnel Lake where only 2 age-0 *O. nerka* were captured. In total 57 stomachs from Quesnel Lake and 87 from Shuswap Lake were examined. In both lakes *Daphnia* was the dominant prey item eaten (Fig. 21). In Quesnel Lake *Daphnia* comprised more than 99% of the biomass of the stomach contents in the West, Main and North arms of the lake. In the East Arm they were still the dominant prey item (56%) but other zooplankton such as cyclopoids (27%),*Bosmina* (15%), and the diaptomid, *Epischura* (8.9%) were also common. The lowered presence of *Daphnia* in the East Arm diet probably reflects the lower abundance of *Daphnia* in that arm during the fall (Stn. 9, Fig. 20). In Shuswap Lake, *Daphnia* also was the dominant prey item comprising 79% in Mara Lake to 86% in Seymour Arm and over 95% in Main and Salmon arms. Epischura was the most common secondary prey item in Mara Lake (13%) and Seymour Arm (6.1%). Mara Lake sockeye also had cyclopoids (<3%) and the occasional insect (other category). In Seymour Arm *O. nerka* the other category was comprised of insects and *Leptodora*.

Lake resident O. nerka - Quesnel Lake

The hydroacoustic and trawl survey of Quesnel Lake was successfully conducted on October 7-9, 2004. The weather was good and equipment performed well. No problems

were encountered that would affect data quality. A thermocline was still present and the fish were well distributed for acoustic sampling (see Fig. 22A for a typical echogram). It was apparent from the observed length frequency distribution of the trawl catch that the majority of fish in the limnetic region of Quesnel Lake were young of the year (age-0) *O. nerka* (Table 2). Only one out of 251 *O. nerka* captured was from an older age class (Fig. 23). Scale ageing results confirmed the preliminary age classification as all fish <93 mm that were aged were classified as age-0. As is typical for Quesnel Lake, no other species were caught in the trawl.

Since the trawl data provided little information on older age classes, we used hydroacoustic target strength (TS) data to estimate the abundance of older age classes. Theoretical relationships between TS and fish size such as Love's (1977) formula and experience on other lakes provides guidelines for separation points between broad categories of salmonid fish size. Generally TS below -63 dB are too small to be fish while -63 to -45 dB correspond to age-0 sockeye sized targets. TS's between -45 and -35 dB correspond to older age classes of kokanee. The TS information indicates that most fish are in the age-0 *O. nerka* size range (Fig. 23B). Although acoustical TS corresponds to fish size, it is difficult to distinguish between small differences in fish size and the age-0 group may contain some age-1 sized fish. In Quesnel Lake, the trawl data indicates that older fish were a very small proportion of the total.

O. nerka can be either anadromous sockeye or non-anadromous kokanee. Based on scale analysis of returning adults, most sockeye migrate from Quesnel Lake after one winter as age-1 smolts (Pacific Salmon Commission, Vancouver, data on file). Returns from age-2 smolts have occurred infrequently since 1948 and have comprised <1% of brood year returns in those years. We therefore assumed that all age-1 and older O. nerka captured in trawls or identified by acoustical target strength during October were kokanee. Distinguishing age-0 sockeye from age-0 kokanee is more difficult and not yet completed. We have stockpiled age-0 O. nerka samples preserved in ethanol for DNA-based stock identification until such time as appropriate adult data are available. Baseline data for adult sockeye is available at DFO's Molecular Genetics Lab, Pacific Biological Station, but a similar data set for adult kokanee does not yet exist. Collection of adult kokanee samples is planned for the fall of 2005 by WLAP (Rob Dolighan, MWLAP, Williams Lake, personal communications). The juvenile samples are also suitable for otolith Sr determination and we are working with the ICP-MS Facility at the University of Victoria to develop suitable protocols and methodology (K. Telmer, U.Vic., personal communications).

Vertical distribution was typical of Quesnel Lake at this time of year. The moderately strong thermal stratification (Fig. 4, 7) resulted in a nighttime distribution of fish targets between 10 and 30 m (Fig. 22A). Abundance and density of age-0 *O. nerka* was relatively low throughout Quesnel Lake, with an average of 400/ha or a total 10.4 million fry (Table 3). These densities were expected given the relatively small sockeye escapement in 2003. Juveniles were found in all areas of the lake but highest densities were found in the Main Arm (779 /ha) and lowest in the East Arm (196/ ha). TS data indicated that low densities of older kokanee were also found throughout the lake. We estimated a total of 1.1 million (41/ha) larger kokanee-sized fish in the limnetic zone of Quesnel Lake.

Although other more direct methods of estimating the age-0 kokanee population are not yet available, we did generate a preliminary estimate using survival relationships

between adult and age-0 kokanee. These were developed by provincial kokanee biologists and are based on observed numbers of older kokanee in allopatric kokanee lakes. Sebastian et al. (2003) reported that on average 60% of the total kokanee population in lakes without sockeye are age-0 kokanee. Using this criteria, we derived an estimate of 1.6 million age-0 kokanee or about 15% of the age-0 population in Quesnel Lake (Table 4).

Average weight of age-0 fall fry in 2004 was 3.6 g, well within the expected range for the brood year escapement (Table 2, Fig. 24A). As sample sizes were low in many of the trawling sections, it is difficult to show differences in size between arms. However, fry in the East Arm were significantly larger than Main Arm fry (Table 2), perhaps due to lower East Arm densities (Table 3).

At 2.0 g, sockeye smolts from the 2002 brood year were the smallest ever recorded from Quesnel Lake (Hume et al. 1996, Table 2). Further, they showed virtually no overwinter or spring growth, since average weight of fry in the previous fall was 1.9 g (Hume et al. 2004).

We derived estimates of the total limnetic biomass in the lake by combining the hydroacoustic abundance and the size estimates. We assumed that age-0 kokanee were the same size as sockeye. For older kokanee we used the mean size (75 g) for age-1 to age-3 kokanee found in Quesnel Lake by Sebastian et al. (2003). Overall, there was an estimated 125 t (4.79 kg/ha) of limnetic fish biomass in Quesnel Lake (Table 5). Age-0 sockeye comprised 31.5 t or 25% of the total while all age classes of kokanee and other fish comprised 93.4 t or 75% of the total. The size information used for kokanee in these calculations needs to be improved with further sampling but is probably an adequate approximation of the non-sockeye biomass in the lake.

Lake resident O. nerka - Shuswap Lake

The hydroacoustic and trawl survey of Shuswap Lake was successfully conducted on October 15-18, 2004. The weather was good and equipment performed well. No problems were encountered that would affect data quality. A thermocline was still present and the fish were well distributed for acoustic sampling (see Fig. 22B for a typical echogram).

The majority of fish caught in the limnetic region of Shuswap Lake by the trawl were young of the year (age-0) *O. nerka* (Table 6). The length frequency distribution of captured fish indicated that there were considerably more age-1 *O. nerka* than in Quesnel Lake (Fig. 25A). Scale-ageing confirmed the length frequency distribution, indicating that fish <80 mm were age-0 *O. nerka* while those between 82 and 140 mm were age-1 *O. nerka*. Only one other age-0 sized fish, a Pygmy whitefish (*Prosopium coulteri*), was caught in the trawl. An adult lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) and a burbot (*Lota lota*) were also caught, a not unusual occurrence in Shuswap Lake.

In Shuswap Lake, we used trawl and TS data to estimate the abundance of older age classes. Theoretical relationships between TS and fish size such as Love's (1977) formula and experience on other lakes provides guidelines for separation points between broad categories of salmonid fish size. Generally TS below -63 dB are too small to be fish while -63 to -45 dB correspond to age-0 sockeye sized targets. TS's between -45 and -35 dB correspond to older age classes of kokanee. The TS information indicates that most fish are in the age-0 *O. nerka* size range (Fig. 25B). Although acoustical TS

corresponds to fish size, it is difficult to distinguish between small differences in fish size and the age-0 group may contain some age-1 sized fish.

O. nerka can be either anadromous sockeye or non-anadromous kokanee. Based on scale analysis of returning adults, most sockeye migrate from Shuswap Lake after one winter as age-1 smolts (Pacific Salmon Commission, Vancouver, data on file). Returns from age-2 smolts to the Adams River have occurred infrequently in recent years and have comprised < 1% of brood year returns since 1970. We therefore assumed that all age-1 and older *O. nerka* captured in trawls or identified by acoustical target strength during October were kokanee. Distinguishing age-0 sockeye from age-0 kokanee is more difficult. Baseline data for adult sockeye is available at DFO's Molecular Genetics Lab, Pacific Biological Station, but a similar data set for adult kokanee does not yet exist. Although we have stockpiled age-0 *O. nerka* samples preserved in ethanol for DNA-based stock identification there are no current plans to collect adult kokanee samples. The juvenile samples are also suitable for otolith Sr determination and we are working with the ICP-MS Facility at the University of Victoria to develop suitable protocols and methodology (K. Telmer, U.Vic., personal communications).

Vertical distribution was typical of Shuswap Lake at this time of year. The nighttime distribution of fish targets was between 15 and 35 m (Fig. 22B). Abundance and density of age-0 *O. nerka* was variable throughout Shuswap Lake, ranging from 1,200/ha in the Main Arm to 700/ha in Mara Lake and 260/ha or less in Seymour, Anstey, and Salmon arms. There was an average of 620 age-0 fry/ha or a total 18.5 million fry in the whole lake (Table 7). TS data indicated that low densities of older kokanee occurred throughout the lake. We estimated a total of 1.0 million (35/ha) larger kokanee-sized fish in the limnetic zone of Shuswap Lake.

Although other more direct methods of estimating the age-0 kokanee population are not yet available, we did generate a preliminary estimate using survival relationships between adult and age-0 kokanee. These were developed by provincial kokanee biologists and are based on observed numbers of older kokanee in allopatric kokanee lakes. Sebastian et al. (2003) reported that on average 60% of the total kokanee population in lakes without sockeye are age-0 kokanee. Using this criteria we derived an estimate of 1.6 million age-0 kokanee or about 9% of the age-0 population in Shuswap Lake (Table 8).

Overall age-0 fry size averaged 2.3 g, well within the expected range for the brood year escapement (Table 6, Fig. 24B) and slightly larger than the 2.0 g found the previous year. Fry were smallest in Mara Lake (1.8 g) and Salmon Arm (2.1 g), and largest in Seymour and Anstey arms (3.2 g).

Unlike Quesnel Lake smolts from the Shuswap Lake showed considerable over winter and spring growth, averaging 3.7 g (Table 6). This was nearly 85% larger than the 2.0 g in the fall of 2003.

We derived estimates of the total limnetic biomass in the lake by combining the hydroacoustic abundance and the size estimates. We assumed that age-0 kokanee were the same size as sockeye. For older kokanee we used the long term mean size (65 g) for age-1 to age-3 kokanee captured in Shuswap Lake from 1977 to 2004. Overall, there was an estimated 116.4 t (3.9 kg/ha) of limnetic fish biomass in Shuswap

Lake (Table 9). Age-0 sockeye comprised 44.2 t or 38% of the total while all age classes of kokanee and other fish comprised 72.2 t or 62% of the total.

Conclusions

This study was designed to examine the long term effects of one to two years of record high escapements to Shuswap and Quesnel lakes on lake and juvenile sockeye production. Hume et al. (2004) showed that fry production from the high brood years did not increase with escapement, but rather reached a plateau around 29 EFS/ha in Shuswap Lake and 28 EFS/ha in Quesnel Lake (Fig. 26, 27). Further, smolts from the 2002 brood year were among the smallest ever recorded from Quesnel Lake. After much smaller 2003 escapements, fall fry abundance and size were within the range previously observed for brood years of similar size.

The high escapements and influx of marine-derived nutrients to Quesnel Lake in 2001 and 2002 resulted in substantial changes to water chemistry, plankton productivity, and plankton community structure in 2003 (Shortreed et al., in prep.). However, by 2004 the lake had returned to earlier production levels, with only slight indications of altered dynamics (e.g. PR slightly elevated, phytoplankton community structure slightly different, increase in relative abundance of *Daphnia*).

The small size of Quesnel Lake smolts from the 2002 brood year will likely result in reduced marine survival (Hyatt and Stockner 1985, Henderson and Cass 1991, Koenings et al. 1993), which should be taken into account when predicting adult returns.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kelly Malange, Steve MacLellan, and Steve McDonald for invaluable assistance in data collection and analysis. Chemical analyses were carried out by Kathy Roxborough and Kerry Parish. Zooplankton was analyzed by Mary Jane Hudson and the scales were analyzed at the scale lab at PBS under the direction of Shayne MacLellan.

Literature Cited

- American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Pollution Control Federation. 1998. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th edition. Washington, D.C.
- Balcer, M.D., N.L. Korda, and S.I. Dodson. 1984. Zooplankton of the Great Lakes. The Univ. of Wisconsin. In press. Madison, Wisconsin. 174 p.
- Bird, D.F., and J. Kalff. 1984. Empirical relationships between bacterial abundance and chlorophyll concentration in fresh and marine waters. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 41: 1015-1023.
- Bird, D.F., and Y.T. Prairie. 1985. Practical guidelines for the use of zooplankton length-weight regression equations. J. Plankton Res. 7: 955-960.
- Burczynski, J. J., and R. L. Johnson. 1986. Application of dual-beam acoustic survey techniques to limnetic populations of juvenile sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 43: 1776-1788.
- Carlson, R.E. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 22: 361-369.
- Clutter, R. I., and L.E. Whitesel. 1956. Collection and interpretation of sockeye salmon scales. International Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission. Bulletin IX. 159 p.
- Culver, D.A., M.M. Boucherie, D.J. Bean, and J.W. Fletcher. 1985. Biomass of freshwater crustacean zooplankton from length-weight regressions. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 42: 1380-1390.
- Enzenhofer, H. J., and J. M. B. Hume. 1989. Simple closing midwater trawl for small boats. N. Am. J. Fish Manage. 9: 372-377.
- Farley, A.L. 1979. Atlas of British Columbia. Univ. of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, B.C.: 136 p.
- Haney, J.F., and D.J. Hall. 1973. Sugar-coated Daphnia: A preservative technique for Cladocera. Limnol. Oceanogr. 18: 331-333.
- Hellawell, J.M., and R. Abel. 1971. A rapid volumetric method for the analysis of the food of fishes. J. Fish. Biol. 3: 29-37.
- Henderson, M.A., and A.J. Cass. 1991. Effect of smolt size on smolt to adult survival for Chilko Lake sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48: 988-994.
- Hume, J.M.B., K.S. Shortreed, and S. MacLellan. MS 2004. Preliminary report on sockeye fry in Quesnel and Shuswap lakes in 2003. MS 5 p.
- Hyatt, K.D., and J.G. Stockner. 1985. Response of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) to fertilization of British Columbia coastal lakes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44: 320-331.

- Koenings, J.P., J.A. Edmundson, G.B. Kyle, and J.M. Edmundson. 1987. Limnology field and laboratory manual: methods for assessing aquatic production. Alaska Dep. Fish Game, FRED Div. Rep. Ser., Juneau. 71: 212 p.
- Koenings, J.P., H.J. Geiger, and J.J. Hasbrouck. 1993. Smolt-to-adult survival patterns of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*): effects of smolt length and geographic latitude when entering the sea. Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 50: 600-611.
- Levy, D.A. 1990. Reciprocal diel vertical migration behavior in planktivores and zooplankton in British Columbia lakes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:1755-1764.
- Love, R.H. 1977. Target strength of an individual fish at any aspect. J. Acoustical Soc. Am., 62: 1397-1403.
- MacIsaac, E.A., and J.G. Stockner. 1985. Current trophic state and potential impact of coal mine development on productivity of Middle Quinsam and Long lakes. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1381: 63 p.
- McDonald, J., and J. M. B. Hume. 1984. Babine Lake sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) enhancement program: testing some major assumptions. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 41: 70-92.
- MacLellan, S.G., K.F. Morton, and K.S. Shortreed. 1993. Zooplankton community structure, abundance, and biomass in Quesnel Lake British Columbia: 1985-1990. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 918: 151 p.
- Pennak, R.W. 1978. Freshwater Invertebrates of the United States, 2nd Ed. John Wiley & sons. New York. 803 p.
- Rieman, B.E., D. L. Myers and R. L. Nielsen. 1994. Use of otolith microchemistry to discriminate Oncorhynchus nerka of resident and anadromous origin. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 51: 68-77.
- Robarts, R.D., and L.M. Sephton. 1981. The enumeration of aquatic bacteria using DAPI. J. Limnol. Soc. Afr. 7: 72-74.
- Sebastian, D., R. Dolighan, H. Andrusak, J. Hume, P. Woodruff, and G. Scholten. 2003. Summary of Quesnel Lake kokanee and rainbow trout biology with reference to sockeye salmon. Ministry of Water, Land, and Air Protection, Province of British Columbia. Stock Management Report No. 17. 109p.
- Shortreed, K.S., and J.M.B. Hume. In prep. Effects of increased sockeye escapements on productivity of a large British Columbia lake.
- Shortreed, K.S., K.F. Morton, K. Malange, and J.M.B. Hume. 2001. Factors limiting sockeye production and enhancement potential for selected B.C. nursery lakes. Can. Sci. Adv. Secretariat Res. Doc. 2001/098: 69 p.
- Stemberger, R.S., and J.J. Gilbert. 1987. Rotifer threshold food concentrations and the size-efficiency hypothesis. Ecology 68: 181-187.

- Stainton, M.P., M.J. Chapel, and F.A.J. Armstrong. 1977. The Chemical Analysis of Fresh Water. 2nd edition. Can. Fish. and Mar. Ser. Misc. Spec. Publ. 25: 180 p.
- Stephens, K., and R. Brandstaetter. 1983. A laboratory manual: collected methods for the analysis of water. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1159: 68 p.
- Stockner, J.G., and K.S. Shortreed. 1991. Phototrophic picoplankton: community composition, abundance and distribution across a gradient of oligotrophic British Columbia and Yukon Territory lakes. In: J.G. Stockner (ed.), Autotrophic picoplankton in freshwater ecosystems. Int. Rev. Gesamten Hydrobiol. 76: 581-601.
- Strickland, J.D.H., and T.R. Parsons. 1972. A practical handbook of seawater analysis. Bull. Fish. Res. Board Can. 67: 311 p.
- Wood, C.C., and C. J. Foote. 1990. Genetic differences in the early development and growth of sympatric sockeye salmon and kokanee (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) and their hybrids. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:2250-2260.
- Yan, N.D., and G.L. Mackie. 1987. Improved estimation of the dry weight of Holopedium gibberum (Crustacea, Cladocera) using clutch size, a body fat index, and lake water total phosphorus concentration. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44: 382-389.

	Station					
Variable	3.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	average	
Surface temperature (°C)	14.1	14.7	14.7	13.1	14.0	
Thermocline depth (m)	7.0	9.9	8.6	9.8	8.9	
Secchi depth (m)	10.8	8.1	11.9	8.0	9.4	
Euphotic zone depth (m)	19.4	15.4	18.6	17.5	17.7	
рН	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.6	
Conductivity (µS/cm at 25°C)	84	82	84	85	84	
Total alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /L)	45.4	43.6	45.5	45.9	45.3	
Total dissolved solids (mg/L)	62	63	66	62	63	
Dissolved inorganic carbon (mg/L)	11.90	11.49	11.85	11.91	11.81	
Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.4	9.2	
Soluble reactive silica (mg Si/L)						
Total phosphorus (µg/L)	2.7	4.6	3.0	2.4	3.0	
Total dissolved phosphorus (µg/L)	1.9	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.9	
Spring overturn total phosphorus (µg/L)	2.7	3.4	2.7	2.2	2.6	
Nitrate (µg N/L)	82	66	81	96	84	
Spring overturn nitrate (µg N/L)	137	129	143	144	139	
Ammonia (µg N/L)	3.6	3.7	3.3	2.5	3.2	
Bacteria (No.x10 ⁶ /mL)			0.82		0.82	
Daily PR (mg $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$)	122	122	128	101	115	
Chlorophyll (µg/L)	0.59	0.79	0.51	0.65	0.64	
Picoplankton (thousands/mL)			35.0		35.0	
Nanoplankton (thousands/mL)			0.92		0.92	
Microplankton (thousands/mL)			0.43		0.43	
Macrozooplankton biomass (mg dry wt/m ²)	603	494	722	707	645	
Daphnia biomass (mg dry wt/m ²)	381	248	423	271	322	
Daphnia (% of total biomass)	63	50	59	38	50	
<i>Eubosmina</i> biomass (mg dry wt/m²)	65	49	70	140	92	
<i>Diacyclops</i> biomass (mg dry wt/m ²)	72	78	101	130	101	
<i>Leptodiaptomus</i> biomass (mg dry wt/m ²)	68	95	114	146	112	
Epischura biomass (mg dry wt/m ²)	13	16	12	16	14	

Table 1. Seasonal averages (n=6) of limnological data collected from the 2004 investigation of Quesnel Lake. Chemical and biological data are epilimnetic means except where otherwise noted.

			Weight (g)					Leng	jth (mn	n)	
				+-95%			+-95%				
Lake Taxa	a Arm	<u>N</u>	Mean	C.I.	Min	Max	Ν	Mean	C.I.	Min	Max
Quesnel Lake -	midwate	trawl cate	ch								
Age-0 O. ner	<i>ka</i> Like	ely 19	3.06	0.30	1.72	3.96	19	65.7	2.0	56	72
	Ma	in 208	3.39	0.11	1.16	6.60	208	67.8	0.7	47	81
	Ea	ist 21	5.83	0.54	3.72	8.10	21	80.0	2.2	71	90
	Nor	th 2	4.36	0.86	3.92	4.80	2	72.5	4.9	70	75
١	Whole La	ke 250	3.58	0.13	1.16	8.10	250	68.7	0.8	47	90
Age-1 kokar	iee Ea	ist 1	12.34				1	103.0			
Quesnel River	smolt cato	h	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.00		04 5	4.0	47	70
Age-1 SOCKE	eye	156	2.01	0.07	0.97	3.36	144	61.5	1.0	47	78

Table 2. Summary size statistics for the smolt catch from the Quesnel River and the midwater trawl catch from Quesnel Lake.

			De	nsity		Population	
Trawl Section	Surface Area (ha)	N	(N/ha)	95% C. I. (N/ha)	N	95% C. I. (N)	95% C. I. (% of N)
Age-0 O. Nerka	/ (iou (iiu)					()	(/0 0111)
1. Likely Arm	2,943	3	324	1,039	953,178	3,056,722	321%
2. Main Arm	6,634	3	779	1,149	5,169,366	7,623,643	147%
3. S. North Arm	3,823	2	242	344	926,743	1,315,832	142%
4. N. North Arm	2,293	2	338	2,361	774,896	5,413,436	699%
5. W. East Arm	5,727	3	285	143	1,634,867	817,201	50%
6. E. East Arm	4,670	3	196	150	913,215	702,696	77%
Lake total	26,090	6	398	151	10,372,264	3,949,244	38%
Large fish							
1. Likely Arm	2,943	3	24	82	70,704	242,777	343%
2. Main Arm	6,634	3	51	97	336,792	641,757	191%
3. S. North Arm	3,823	2	16	95	60,872	363,654	597%
4. N. North Arm	2,293	2	68	26	155,720	59,609	38%
5. W. East Arm	5,727	3	39	42	222,891	238,688	107%
6. E. East Arm	4,670	3	45	22	210,393	101,418	48%
Lake total	26,090	6	41	13	1,057,372	345,860	33%

Table 3. Hydroacoustic population estimates for each section of Quesnel Lake from the October 7-9, 2004 survey. Age-0 *O. nerka* are mostly anadromous sockeye but a proportion will be non-anadromous kokanee. Most of the larger fish will be older age classes of kokanee.

Table 4. Estimated age-0 kokanee and sockeye populations for each section of Quesnel Lake from the October 7-9, 2004 survey. The age-0 kokanee estimate is based on observations in allopatric kokanee lakes where age-0 fry are observed to be about 60% of the total kokanee population (Sebastian et al. 2003).

		Der	Density			Population	
							Proportion
	Surface	Kokanee	Sockeye	k	Kokanee	Sockeye	Sockeye
Trawl Section	Area (ha)	(N/ha)	(N/ha)		(N/ha)	(N/ha)	(%N)
1. Likely Arm	2,943	36	288		106,057	847,121	89%
2. Main Arm	6,634	76	703		505,188	4,664,178	90%
3. S. North Arm	3,823	24	219		91,308	835,436	90%
4. N. North Arm	2,293	102	236		233,580	541,316	70%
5. W. East Arm	5,727	58	227		334,336	1,300,531	80%
6. E. East Arm	4,670	68	128		315,590	597,624	65%
Lake total	26,090	61	337	1	,586,059	8,786,206	85%

Table 5. Estimated biomass for each section of Quesnel Lake from the October 7-9, 2004 survey. Age-0 size data is from the 2004 trawl catch but mean size for the Main Arm was use for the North Arm as the sample size for the north arm was small. Size of older fish is calculated from size at age and age-class proportions in Sebastian et al. (2003).

		Biomass				
	Mean	Density				
Trawl Section	Weight (g)	(kg/ha)	(tonnes)			
Age-0 sockeye						
1. Likely Arm	3.1	0.88	2.6			
2. Main Arm	3.4	2.39	15.8			
3. S. North Arm	3.4	0.74	2.8			
4. N. North Arm	3.4	0.80	1.8			
5. W. East Arm	5.8	1.32	7.6			
6. E. East Arm	5.8	0.75	3.5			
Quesnel Lake	3.6	1.21	31.5			
Age-0 kokanee						
1. Likely Arm	3.1	0.11	0.3			
2. Main Arm	3.4	0.26	1.7			
3. S. North Arm	3.4	0.08	0.3			
4. N. North Arm	3.4	0.35	0.8			
5. W. East Arm	5.8	0.34	2.0			
6. E. East Arm	5.8	0.39	1.8			
Quesnel Lake	3.6	0.22	5.7			
Larga fich						
	02	1 00	5.0			
1. LIKEIY AIIII 2. Main Arm	03 02	1.99	0.9 20 0			
2. Wall All	00	4.21	20.0			
3. 5. NORD ARD	83	1.3Z	5.1 12.0			
	83	5.04 2.22	12.9			
5. W. East Arm	83	3.23	18.5			
6. E. East Arm	83	3.74	17.5			
Quesnel Lake	83	3.36	87.8			
Total non-sockey	na hiomass	3 58	03 11			
Total hiomass	0 010111033	<i>1</i> 70	12/ QA			
1010111055		4.13	124.90			

			V	Veight (g)			L	ength (i	mm)	
				+-					+-95%		
				95%					C.I.		
Lake Taxa	Arm	N	Mean	C.I.	Min	Max	N	Mean	-	Min	Max
Shuswap Lake - m	idwater trawl ca	itch									
Age-0 O. nerka	Main	277	2.7	0.098	0.58	5.18	277	65.5	0.7	42	78
	Salmon	173	2.1	0.131	0.48	4.62	173	59.2	1.0	36	77
	Seymour	38	3.2	0.249	1.92	5.18	38	65.8	1.5	57	75
	Anstey	7	3.2	0.868	1.40	4.66	7	64.3	6.4	50	74
	Mara	168	1.8	0.131	0.40	3.88	168	56.1	1.3	41	75
	Whole Lake	663	2.3	0.073	0.40	5.18	663	61.4	0.6	36	78
Age-1 kokanee	Main	3	9.1	2.163	7.34	11.14	3	95	6.8	89	101
	Salmon	8	14.5	4.834	6.16	27.52	8	108	11.2	83	135
	Seymour	2	17.2	17.91	8.10	26.38	2	115	40.2	94	135
	Anstey	2	12.9	0.921	12.40	13.34	2	106	2.9	104	107
	Mara	2	14.9	10.56	9.50	20.28	2	109	24.5	96	121
	Whole Lake	17	13.7	3.079	6.16	27.52	17	106	7.2	83	135
Ago 21 kokonoo	Salmon						1	220		220	220
Age-2+ KUKallee	Saimour						1	220		220	220
								220	0.0	220	220
	Whole Lake						2	220	0.0	220	220
Lake trout	Anstey						1	700			
Burbot	Main						1	400			
Pygmy whitefish	Main	1	0.86		0.86	0.86	1	43.0		43	43
South Thompson F	River smolt catc	h									
Age-1 sockeye		26	3.68	0.27	2.32	5.13	26	70.6	1.7	59	79

Table 6. Summary size statistics for the smolt catch in the South Thompson River in spring 2004 and the midwater trawl catch from Shuswap Lake in October 2004.

Table 7. Hydroacoustic population estimates for each section of Shuswap Lake from the October 15-18, 2004 survey. Age-0 *O. nerka* are mostly anadromous sockeye but a proportion will be non-anadromous kokanee. Most of the larger fish will be older age classes of kokanee.

			Der	nsity		Population	
	Surface Area			95% C. I.			95% C. I.
Trawl Section	(ha)	Ν	(N/ha)	(N/ha)	Ν	95% C. I. (N)	(% of N)
Age 0 O. Nerka			· · · ·				· / /
2. West Main Arm	2.487	3	2.177	1.155	5.414.776	2.871.896	53%
3. Mid Main Arm	4.217	3	1.251	1.310	5.274.339	5.524.529	105%
4. East Main Arm	3.879	4	531	324	2.059.479	1.257.726	61%
Total Main Arm	10,583	3	1.205	284	12,748,594	3,000,209	24%
	,	Ū	.,_00	_0 :	,0,00 .	0,000,200	2.70
5. West Sevmour Arm	1.703	2	260	680	443.548	1.157.586	261%
6. East Seymour Arm	2,791	3	258	240	721,328	670,518	93%
Total Seymour Arm	4,494	2	259	80	1,164,876	361,029	31%
2						·	
7. Anstey Arm	2,437	2	138	269	335,394	656,167	196%
Ł							
8. East Salmon Arm	3,034	2	220	78	668,570	236,285	35%
9. Mid Salmon Arm	3,884	2	144	159	559,203	617,573	110%
10. West Salmon Arm	2.589	2	445	585	1.150.931	1.514.503	132%
Total Salmon Arm	9.507	3	250	27	2.378.704	260.119	11%
	-,	-			_,		
11. Tappen Bay	1,142	1	578		660.437		0%
	,				,		
12. East Mara	910	2	896	2,591	815,263	2,358,285	289%
13. West Mara	751	2	470	1,935	353,326	1,453,165	411%
Total Mara Lake	1,661	2	703	262	1,168,589	436,018	37%
Total Shuswap system	29,823	13	619	103	18,456,594	3,065,948	17%
Other small fish (based on trav	wl catch)						
4. East Main Arm	, 3.879	4	23.9	14.6	92.537	56.512	61%
Total Main Arm	10,583	3	8.7	3.4	92,537	35,515	38%
	,	-	••••	••••	,:	,	
Large fish							
2. West Main Arm	2.487	3	62.7	61.1	155.988	152.072	97%
3. Mid Main Arm	4.217	3	38.1	27.0	160.816	113.813	71%
4 Fast Main Arm	3 879	4	32.4	31.3	125 771	121,350	96%
Total Main Arm	10 583	3	41.8	11.0	442 575	116 668	26%
	10,000	Ũ	11.0	11.0	112,010	110,000	2070
5. West Seymour Arm	1.703	2	62.3	29.7	106.155	50.551	48%
6. East Seymour Arm	2.791	3	32.9	45.4	91.742	126,553	138%
Total Seymour Arm	4,494	2	44.0	13.2	197.897	59.361	30%
	.,	_			,	,	
7. Anstey Arm	2,437	2	24.1	94.4	58,784	230,007	391%
	·				•		
8. East Salmon Arm	3,034	2	25.3	22.7	76,639	68,779	90%
9. Mid Salmon Arm	3,884	2	24.1	33.5	93,512	130,232	139%
10. West Salmon Arm	2,589	2	31.5	15.2	81,679	39,354	48%
Total Salmon Arm	9,507	3	26.5	2.5	251,830	23,996	10%
11. Tappen Bay	1,142	1	45.1		51,448		0%
ź	,						
12. East Mara	910	2	42.4	21.2	38,635	19,329	50%
13. West Mara	751	2	9.2	39.3	6,929	29,531	426%
Total Mara Lake	1,661	2	27.4	3.3	45,564	5,555	12%
Total Shuswap system	29,823	13	35.1	4.6	1,048,098	138,031	13%

		Density			Population	
Lake Area	Surface Area (ha)	Kokanee (N/ha)	Sockeye (N/ha)	Kokanee (N/ha)	Sockeye (N/ha)	Proportion Sockeye (%N)
Main Arm	10,583	63	1,142	663,862	12,084,733	95%
Seymour Arm	4,494	66	193	296,846	868,031	75%
Anstey Arm	2,437	36	101	88,176	247,218	74%
Salmon Arm	9,507	40	210	377,746	2,000,958	84%
Tappen Bay	1,142	68	511	77,173	583,264	88%
Mara Lake	1,661	41	662	68,346	1,100,244	94%
Shuswap system	29,823	53	566	1,572,147	16,884,447	91%

Table 8. Estimated age-0 kokanee and sockeye populations for each section of Shuswap Lake from the October 15-18, 2004 survey. The age-0 kokanee estimate is based on observations in allopatric kokanee lakes where age-0 fry are observed to be about 60% of the total kokanee population (Sebastian et al. 2003).

Table 9. Estimated biomass for each section of Shuswap Lake. Age-0 size data is from the 2004 trawl catch (Table 6). Size of older fish is the long term mean (1977 to 2004) of age-1 to 3 *O. nerka* collected in the fall.

	Mean	Bioma	ass
	Weight	Density	
Trawl Section	(g)	(kg/ha)	(tonnes)
Age_0 sockeye			· ·
Main Arm	3.1	3.56	37.7
Seymour Arm	3.2	0.61	2.8
Anstey Arm	3.2	0.32	0.8
Salmon Arm	2.4	0.50	4.8
Tappen Bay	2.4	1.22	1.4
Mara Lake	2.1	1.42	2.4
Shuswap system	2.6	1.48	44.2
Age_0 kokanee			
Main Arm	3.1	0.20	2.1
Seymour Arm	3.2	0.21	0.9
Anstey Arm	3.2	0.11	0.3
Salmon Arm	2.4	0.10	0.9
Tappen Bay	2.4	0.16	0.2
Mara Lake	2.1	0.09	0.1
Shuswap system	2.6	0.14	4.1
Large fish			
Main Arm	65.0	2.72	28.8
Seymour Arm	65.0	2.86	12.9
Anstey Arm	65.0	1.57	3.8
Salmon Arm	65.0	1.72	16.4
Tappen Bay	65.0	2.93	3.3
Mara Lake	65.0	1.78	3.0
Shuswap system	65.0	2.28	68.1
<u> </u>		a 10	
I otal non-sockeye biomas	S	2.42	72.24
l otal biomass		3.90	116.41





Fig. 1. Sockeye escapement to Quesnel Lake showing; A) all brood years and, B) the 2003 cycle line only.





Fig. 2. Sockeye escapement to Shuswap Lake showing; A) all brood years and, B) the 2003 cycle line only.



Fig. 3. Map of Quesnel and Shuswap lakes showing sampling locations.



Fig. 4. Temperature profiles from station 3 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.

29



Fig. 5. Temperature profiles from station 7 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.



Fig. 6. Temperature profiles from station 8 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.



Fig. 7. Temperature profiles from station 9 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.





Fig. 8. Seasonal average euphotic zone depths and pH in years prior to the record escapements, in 2003, and in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.



Fig. 9. Correlations between spring overturn total phosphorus concentration (TP_{spr}) and escapements in the previous year in the North Arm (upper) and the whole of Quesnel Lake.



Fig. 10. Vertical profiles of nitrate concentration from station 8 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.

35





Fig. 11. Variation in the concentration of spring overturn and minimum epilimnetic nitrate in years prior to the record escapements, in 2003, and in 2004 in Quesnel Lake..



Fig. 12. Vertical profiles of chlorophyll concentration from station 8 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.

37



Fig. 13. Variation in seasonal average chlorophyll concentration in years prior to the record escapements, in 2003, and in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.





Fig. 15. Vertical profiles of photosynthetic rates at station 7 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.

40



Fig. 16. Vertical profiles of photosynthetic rates at station 8 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.

41

Fig. 17. Vertical profiles of photosynthetic rates at station 9 in 2004 in Quesnel Lake.



Fig. 18. Upper figure is the variation in seasonal average daily photosynthetic rates in Quesnel Lake in years prior to the record escapements, in 2003, and in 2004. The lower figure is the variation in *Tabellaria* numbers in the same years (data available for all years from the Main Arm only).





Fig. 19. Seasonal variation in dry biomass of the major zooplankton groups at stations 3 and 7 in Quesnel Lake.





Fig. 20. Seasonal variation in dry biomass of the major zooplankton groups at stations 8 and 9 in Quesnel Lake.



100% Other 80% Proportion by Weight Cyclopoids Diaptomids 60% Epischura Bosmina 40% Daphnia 20% 0% Main Seymour Salmon Mara Arm

B. Shuswap Lake

A. Quesnel Lake

Fig. 21. Stomach contents of age-0 sockeye from each basin of A.) Quesnel and B) Shuswap lakes.

A. Quesnel Lake



B. Shuswap Lake



Fig. 22. Echogram from the October, 2004 surveys from; A) transect 6 on Quesnel Lake and B) transect 11 on Shuswap Lake.



Fig. 23. A) Length frequency and, B) acoustic target strength (TS) frequency from the 2004 Quesnel survey. TS (roughly corresponding to fish size) and the size range of various expected midwater fish is indicated. Results of scale ageing are shown in A.

A. Quesnel Lake.



B. Shuswap Lake



Fig. 24. Size of age-0 sockeye fall fry in relationship to effective female escapement. The 2003 brood year (2004 fry yr) is the solid diamond: A) Quesnel Lake and B) Shuswap Lake.



Fig. 25. Frequency of acoustic target strengths (TS) from the 2004 Shuswap survey. TS (roughly corresponding to fish size) and the size range of various expected midwater fish is indicated. Results of scale ageing are shown in A.



Fig. 26. Abundance and biomass of Quesnel Lake age-0 sockeye in relationship to effective female escapement. The 2003 brood year (2004 fry year) is the solid diamond. Ricker (solid line) and Beverton-Holt (dashed line) curves have been fitted to the data.



Fig. 27. Abundance and biomass of Shuswap Lake age-0 sockeye in relationship to effective female escapement. The 2003 brood year (2004 fry year) is the solid diamond. Ricker (solid line) and Beverton-Holt (dashed line) curves have been fitted to the data.